

WS.03478A-08.0608



0000095957

ORIGINAL

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMIS
UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

Investigator: Richard Martinez

Phone: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

Priority: Respond Within Five Days

Opinion No. 2009 - 78091

Date: 4/6/2009 Arizona Corporation Commission

Complaint Description: 08A Rate Case Items - Opposed
N/A Not Applicable

DOCKETED

APR 22 2009

Complaint By: First: Merlin D.

Last: Pendray

Account Name: Merlin D. Pendray

Street: [REDACTED]

Home: [REDACTED]

DOCKETED BY

[Signature]

Work:

City: Yuma

CBR: [REDACTED]

State: AZ

Zip: [REDACTED]

is: E-Mail

Utility Company: Far West Water & Sewer, Inc.

Division: Water

Contact Name: [REDACTED]

Contact Phone: [REDACTED]

Nature of Complaint:

(Docket No. WS-03478A-08-0608)

(Received the following correspondence from a member of Far West Water & Sewer, Inc. who said that Mr. Pendray was not able to make it down to the Hearing and wanted this Opinion to be docketed so that the Commissioner have an opportunity to read his concerns):

After reviewing the Far West rebuttal I think there is a win-win solution for part of the problems facing Far West. Vista del Sol has been a unique part of the Far West operation in that it is incorporated in the city of Yuma. Moreover, Vista del Sol is served by Palm Shadows, a waste water treatment plant already condemned by ADEQ and is located only 8/10 mile from an existing city sewerage main on 10 E. If Far West were to relinquish or ACC were to revoke the permit to operate Palm Shadows, the city could begin to acquire the infrastructure and prepare to serve the approximately 550 residents of Vista Del Sol, This would relieve Far West of the burden of Vista del Sol.

Additionally, I read in testimony of Mr. Capestro that the shareholders have no money to invest to help same Far West.

- 4 Q. Why don't the shareholders finance the balance of the
5 treatment plant construction cost with paid in
6 Capital?
7 A. This economy has hit the shareholders as hard as anybody else. The shareholders
8 do not have the money to shareholders have found the extremely tight credit market
10 to be just as daunting as has the Company. As shareholders, they receive no
11 dividends. As officers on the Company, Paula Capestro and Sandra Braden receive
12 a salary for their work, but earn no return on their investment in Far West.

(Please see the attached for evidence to the contrary):

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

2009 APR 22 P 12:41

RECEIVED

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

the public sector. SRP markets itself as environmentally responsible, but the facts present a different picture. Arizona cannot expect to have a prosperous, energy efficient, ecologically sustainable future unless the playing field is level. I urge the Commission to petition the Legislature for regulatory oversight of Salt River Project.

Sincerely,

Lynn Ashby

[REDACTED]
Phoenix AZ 85008
[REDACTED]

End of Complaint

Utilities' Response:

na

End of Response

Investigator's Comments and Disposition:

I emailed this OPINION to Guadalupe Ortiz @ ACC Phoenix Office to have this docketed towards Arizona Public Service Company. Docket Numbers E-10345A-08-0172 and E-OOOOOJ-08-0314 and G-00000 C-08-0314 . FILE CLOSED.

End of Comments

Date Completed: 4/2/2009

Opinion No. 2009 - 77960
